

EXHIBIT B

ROBBINS UMEDA & FINK, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

610 West Ash Street, Suite 1800
San Diego, California 92101
Telephone: 619/525-3990
Facsimile: 619/525-3991
Email: info@ruflaw.com

FIRM RESUME

ROBBINS UMEDA & FINK, LLP

Robbins Umeda & Fink, LLP (the "Firm") specializes in the nationwide prosecution of class and representative actions. The Firm is dedicated to vindicating the rights of shareholders, employees, consumers, and small businesses by passionately litigating these actions in state and federal courts throughout the United States. The Firm focuses on the prosecution of securities fraud actions, shareholder derivative actions, corporate merger actions, and ERISA/401K class actions brought in connection with publicly traded companies against their officers, directors, auditors, and investment banking firms. In addition, the Firm also litigates several consumer fraud and antitrust class actions. By doing so, the Firm has successfully negotiated several noteworthy settlements for its clients.

For example, in a recent securities class action in San Diego, *In re Titan, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 04-CV-0676-LAB (NLS) (S.D. Cal.), the Firm, serving in a Co-Lead Counsel capacity, was able to secure a \$61.5 million settlement on behalf of the Class. This amounted to half of the estimated damages to the class and far exceeded the normal settlement gained in this type of case. It was also one of the largest securities fraud class action settlements in San Diego's history. The Firm's lawyers also negotiated a settlement in *In re Nicor, Inc. S'holder*

Derivative Litig., Case No. 02 CH 15499, a shareholder derivative action in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, which resulted in personnel changes among Nicor's executive officers and board members, as well as securing \$33 million for Nicor. In recognition of the hard work and significant benefits conferred during the litigation, the Honorable Sophia H. Hall, presiding Judge for the court, stated "Thank you very much for the good work that you all did. And I think that your stockholders will appreciate it, too. Thank you very much." Recently, the Firm secured a settlement of *In re OM Group, Inc. Derivative Litig.*, Case No. 1:03CV0020 (N.D. Ohio). As part of this resolution, the company received a \$29 million benefit, the termination of OM Group's long term CEO, the addition of two shareholder nominated directors, and numerous other highly beneficial corporate governance matters.

The Firm's reputation for excellence has been recognized on repeated occasions by various courts throughout the country by appointing the Firm to leadership positions in complex class and derivative actions. The Firm currently serves as lead counsel in several high profile complex actions around the country. *See In re American International Group, Inc. Derivative Litig.*, Case No. 04 Civ. 08406 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Forest Labs., Inc. Derivative Litig.*, Case No. 05-CV-3489 (S.D.N.Y.); *Pringle v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, Case No. 03-3125 (D.N.J.); *In re Tenet Healthcare Corporation Derivative Litig.*, Case No. 01098905 (Santa Barbara Super. Ct.); *In re DHB Industries, Inc. Derivative Litig.*, Case No. CV-05-4345 (E.D.N.Y.).

MEMBERS

BRIAN J. ROBBINS

Brian J. Robbins, the Managing Partner and Co-Founder of the Firm, has been an active litigator of shareholder, employee, consumer, and small business rights for several years. In addition to prosecuting actions for which he has principal responsibility, Mr. Robbins oversees

and coordinates the work of the Firm's nearly 50 employees and all its litigation departments. As a litigator, Mr. Robbins has negotiated several noteworthy settlements of complex actions and successfully argued several noteworthy legal issues.

For example, Mr. Robbins was the lead negotiator for the derivative plaintiffs in *Harbor Finance Partners v. McGhan, et al.*, No. H-02-0761 (S.D. Tex.), litigation filed on behalf of Hanover Compressor Company. The "groundbreaking" and "unprecedented" Hanover settlement resulted in, among other things, a \$26.5 million payment to the company, the return of 2.5 million shares to the company, the appointment of two shareholder nominated directors and the agreement to rotate the company's auditing firm. *See This Settlement Raises the Governance Bar; Hanover Compressor's Landmark Agreement with Shareholders to Embrace Major Corporate Reforms Could Have Profound Repercussions*, Stephanie Anderson Forest, BusinessWeek Online, May 15, 2003. Additionally, Mr. Robbins, as sole lead counsel, recently settled *In re OM Group, Inc. Derivative Litig.*, Case No. 1:03CV0020 (N.D. Ohio). As part of this resolution, Mr. Robbins helped secure \$29 million for OM Group, the termination of OM Group's long term CEO, the addition of two shareholder nominated directors, and numerous other highly beneficial corporate governance matters. Mr. Robbins negotiated another groundbreaking settlement to resolve *In re Dynegy, Inc. Derivative Litig.*, Lead Case No. 2002-25250 (Harris County, TX, 164th Judicial District). This settlement acknowledged the role of the litigation in implementing extensive corporate governance reforms including: replacement of 11 members of the Board of Directors and several key officers, adoption of extensive measures specifically designed to increase the independence of the Board of Directors and its various committees, and the establishment of new committees and senior positions to specifically enhance ethics and compliance efforts, as well as the integrity of the Company's financial

reports, and assisted the company in securing a \$150 million benefit, and implemented several critical and necessary corporate governance practices. Mr. Robbins also served in a Co-Lead Counsel capacity in *Larret v. Robertson, et al.*, Lead Case No. GIC754696 (S.D. Super. Ct.), a shareholder derivative action brought on behalf of MP3.com, Inc. ("MP3") which resulted in MP3's adoption of extensive corporate governance measures designed to specifically increase the independence of the board of directors and its committees and the transparency of any trading by insiders. In another shareholder derivative action settled through Mr. Robbins' efforts and the efforts of the Firm, the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik stated:

"Well, I did review the papers here and I think you've actually set the bar kind of high for future settlements. This looks like an excellent result for the various class members in both the derivative action and the other action.... And it's to the credit of the lawyers that they were able to achieve this result before a lot of discovery and a lot of expenses were undertaken.... And so, I would be quite delighted and satisfied to make the necessary findings that this is an excellent settlement for plaintiffs."

In re Cutter & Buck Sec. Litig., No. C02-1948L, Hearing on Settlement Transcript at 6-7 (W.D. Wash. Dec. 2, 2003).

Mr. Robbins has also negotiated several very highly beneficial settlements of securities fraud class action lawsuits. In a recent securities fraud class action in San Diego, *In re Titan, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 04-CV-0676-LAB (NLS) (S.D. Cal.), Mr. Robbins, along with his Firm, serving in a Co-Lead Counsel capacity, were able to secure a \$61.5 million settlement on behalf of the Class. This amounted to half of the estimated damages to the class and far exceeded the normal settlement gained in this type of case. It was also one of the largest securities fraud class action settlements in San Diego's history. Mr. Robbins, as the lead attorney for his prior firm in *Garza, et al. v. J.D. Edwards & Co., et al.*, Case No. 99-1744 (D. Col.), also helped secure over a \$15 million recovery for a class of purchasers of J.D. Edwards & Co. stock.

Likewise, Mr. Robbins has also helped settle numerous other complex class action lawsuits. For example, Mr. Robbins played a pivotal role in *Supnick v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al.*, Case No. C-00-0221-P (W.D. Wash.), litigation which resulted in a \$3.8 million cash recovery for the class and critical injunctive relief protecting the privacy of class members and other users of the Internet.

Mr. Robbins has also been at the forefront of litigation on several novel legal topics. For example, as Co-Lead Counsel in a class action brought on behalf of Internet users who had allegedly had their privacy violated because of the design of certain web pages, Mr. Robbins successfully briefed an opposition to a motion to dismiss brought by defendant Website operator Intuit, Inc. ("Intuit"). The issue, whether Intuit violated sections of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act through the manipulation of cookies placed on its visitors' computers, was a novel and untested application of the law. The court denied the defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' cause of action based upon a violation of 18 U.S.C. §2701, *et seq.*, which prohibits unauthorized access to facilities where electronic communication services are provided. *See In re Intuit Privacy Litig.*, 138 F. Supp. 2d 1272 (C.D. Cal. 2001). This success eventually secured a settlement that resulted in numerous privacy protections provided to the class and future users of the quicken.com Website.

In *In re Toys R Us, Inc. Privacy Litig.*, Mr. Robbins successfully opposed a motion to dismiss claims brought under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act by Toys R Us, Inc. and Coremetrics, Inc. in an action alleging surreptitious monitoring of the activities of the users of the toysrus.com website. Despite the highly technical nature of these types of claims, Mr. Robbins was able to help defeat a motion to dismiss a 18 U.S.C. §2520 claim against Coremetrics and a 18 U.S.C. §1030 claim against Toys R Us and Coremetrics. *See In re Toys R*

Us, Inc. Privacy Litig., No. 00-CV-2746, 2001 WL 34517252 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 9, 2001). The upholding of these claims against website providers was believed to be the first of their kind. This success lead to a settlement that provided significantly enhanced privacy protections to future users of the toysrus.com Website.

Mr. Robbins has also successfully briefed two issues of first impression as to whether removal of a shareholder derivative action to federal court based upon federal question grounds was proper. In a shareholder derivative action brought on behalf of JDS Uniphase Corporation ("JDS"), the defendants removed the case claiming that a shareholder derivative action based on similar factual allegations as a securities fraud class action brought against JDS and certain of its officers was not an "exclusively derivative" action under the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998 ("SLUSA") and thus SLUSA authorized removal of the action. Mr. Robbins, however, successfully argued that the similarity of the factual allegations could not alter the derivative nature of the action and the case was therefore not removable under SLUSA and should be remanded. *See Coykendall v. Kaplan*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22483 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 1, 2002). As Co-Lead Counsel in a shareholder derivative action brought on behalf of L90, Inc. ("L90"), Mr. Robbins, on another issue of first impression, was also successful in arguing that the removal provisions of SLUSA were not applicable to a shareholder derivative action because that action also included a class action claim seeking to protect the voting rights of L90's shareholders on equitable grounds. *See Shen v. Bohan*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22485 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 16, 2002). Mr. Robbins has also successfully briefed to the Supreme Court of Texas that a trial court's determination of whether a plaintiff has adequately alleged that a demand to initiate suit upon a company's board of directors would have been a futile and useless act is not an issue

justifying interlocutory review. *See In re Dynegy, Inc.*, No. 03-0768, Order (Sup. Ct. Tex. Sept. 8, 2003).

Currently, Mr. Robbins is also acting as lead counsel or as an executive committee member in several other complex litigation matters. Mr. Robbins is also commonly acknowledged as a prominent and respected litigator in his field as evidenced by a recent invitation by the Washington State Bar Association to be a panelist for "After the Storm: Securities and Corporate Litigation in the Post-Sarbanes World" at its 26th Annual Northwest Securities Institute.

Mr. Robbins graduated in only two and one-half years from the University of California at Berkeley with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Sociology in 1993. Mr. Robbins then received his law degree from the Vanderbilt School of Law in 1997. While at Vanderbilt, Mr. Robbins received the top score in constitutional law, corporate law, corporate and securities transactions, and soviet law and was a research assistant for two very well respected corporate and securities law professors: (1) Professor Donald C. Langevoort, the Lee S. and Charles A. Speir Professor at Vanderbilt University School of Law, former Special Counsel for the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in the Office of the General Counsel, co-author, with Professors James Cox and Robert Hillman, of *Securities Regulation: Cases and Materials*, the author of *Insider Trading: Regulation, Enforcement and Prevention*, and author of many law review articles, a number of which seek to incorporate insights from social psychology and behavioral economics into the study of corporate and securities law and legal ethics. Professor Langevoort also has testified numerous times before Congressional committees on issues relating to insider trading and securities litigation reform; and (2) Professor Larry D. Soderquist, one of the best known and most respected corporate and securities law professors in America, who has authored numerous

books and articles on these subjects, including *Understanding the Securities Laws*, the most widely distributed book of its kind. Professor Soderquist also was the Director of Vanderbilt's Corporate and Securities Law Institute. After graduating from Vanderbilt Law School, Mr. Robbins received his LL.M. in Securities and Financial Regulation from the Georgetown University Law Center in 1998. Mr. Robbins is licensed to practice in the State of California, the State of Connecticut, and the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, the District of Colorado and the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

MARC M. UMEDA

Mr. Umeda is Co-Founder and a Principal of the Firm. Mr. Umeda earned his Bachelor of Arts Degree in Political Science from the University of California at Berkeley in 1994 and his law degree from the University of San Diego School of Law in 1998, where he received the top score in Criminal Law and Property Law. Mr. Umeda was also a member of the *San Diego Law Review* and a Dean's Scholarship and Richardson Scholarship recipient. Mr. Umeda is licensed to practice law in the State of California, the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern Districts of California, the District of Colorado and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth and Seventh Circuits.

Mr. Umeda has practiced complex litigation throughout his legal career and devotes all of his time to the area of complex shareholder litigation, including securities fraud and shareholder class and derivative actions nationwide. Mr. Umeda is the lead attorney responsible for the day-to-day management of many complex shareholder derivative actions. In this capacity, Mr. Umeda has successfully written and argued numerous discovery and dispositive motions. Mr. Umeda has also negotiated the settlements of numerous class and shareholder derivative actions.

For example, Mr. Umeda was one of the lead counsel in the *In re Nicor, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation*, Case No. 02 CH 15499, a shareholder derivative action in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois. His efforts were a meaningful factor in the personnel changes among the company's executive officers and Board members, as well as the securing of \$33 million for the company. In recognition of the hard work and significant benefits conferred during the litigation, the Honorable Sophia H. Hall, Presiding Judge for the court, stated "Thank you very much for the good work that you all did. And I think that your stockholders will appreciate it, too. Thank you very much." Mr. Umeda was also described as "an excellent lawyer" who is "trying to do the best possible job he can for [his client]" by the Honorable Mark R. Forcum of the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo.

Mr. Umeda, on behalf of the Firm, was also appointed co-lead counsel by the court in *Imler, et al. v. AES Corporation, et al.*, No. 1:03-CV-00194-LJM-WTL (S.D. Ind.), to head the securities fraud class action litigation against AES Corporation and certain of its officers, wherein he assisted in the recovery of \$5 million for the class of stock purchasers. Mr. Umeda has also secured extensive corporate governance reforms in numerous shareholder derivative actions throughout the nation.

JEFFREY P. FINK

Mr. Fink is Co-Founder and a Principal of the Firm. Mr. Fink received his Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from the University of Rhode Island in 1986. Thereafter, Mr. Fink served Cowen and Company and its institutional, options and arbitrage departments as a Floor Clerk on the American Stock Exchange. Mr. Fink then earned his Master of Science in Hotel Administration from the University of Nevada Las Vegas in 1995 where he was awarded the Outstanding Graduate Research Award for his paper "The Impact on Clark County Gaming

Revenues from the Proliferation of Gaming Throughout the Country." While earning his degree, Mr. Fink worked for Mirage Resorts in Las Vegas, Nevada conducting casino analysis. In 1998, Mr. Fink received his law degree from the University of San Diego School of Law. Mr. Fink is licensed to practice in the State of California, the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern Districts of California, the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Mr. Fink has extensive experience in litigating complex insurance class action cases, securities arbitration cases, consumer fraud cases, invasion of privacy and wiretapping cases, shareholder derivative cases, ERISA class actions and securities fraud cases. Mr. Fink's expertise has been recognized on numerous occasions by courts who have appointed him to leadership positions charged with prosecuting complex litigations on behalf of plaintiffs.

Jeffrey P. Fink has broad experience in corporate, securities and shareholder derivative litigation. Most recently, Mr. Fink, in one of the most politically controversial corporate mergers in recent history, recently secured over \$500 million to Unocal Corporation shareholders as part of an increased bid by Chevron Corporation in *Leib, et al. v. Unocal Corp., et al.*, Case No. BC331316 (Cal. Super. Ct.). Additionally, Mr. Fink was instrumental in causing Unocal to issue a supplemental proxy statement that allowed Unocal shareholders to have a fully informed vote when considering Chevron Corporation's bid versus that of the Chinese National Offshore Oil Corporation.

Mr. Fink was appointed Co-Lead Counsel and assumed the role as lead litigator in *In re Titan, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 04-CV-0676-LAB (NLS) (SD Cal.). Through Mr. Fink's efforts the class received \$61.5 million, which amounted to half of the calculated damages, for Titan's violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act which caused Lockheed Martin to back

out of its multibillion dollar offer for Titan.

FELIPE J. ARROYO

Mr. Arroyo is a Principal of the Firm. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from the University of California at Los Angeles in 1989 and his law degree from the Yale Law School in 1992. While at Yale, he served as a Senior Editor of the *Yale Law Journal* and a Director of the Yale Moot Court of Appeals. After law school, Mr. Arroyo spent 2 years with New York-based Weil Gotshal & Manges, over 10 years with Los Angeles-based O'Melveny & Myers LLP and 2 years as corporate General Counsel for a fitness company. Mr. Arroyo is licensed to practice law in California and the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In addition to litigating complex shareholder derivative, securities fraud and corporate breach of fiduciary duty actions, Mr. Arroyo is committed to training and developing core litigation and trial skills for attorneys at the Firm.

Mr. Arroyo's entire legal career has been dedicated to complex litigation. He has prosecuted and defended numerous complex commercial, patent, securities fraud and breach of fiduciary duty actions. In leading many of these actions, Mr. Arroyo has conducted approximately 100 lay witness and expert depositions and argued numerous discovery, evidentiary and dispositive motions.

Mr. Arroyo has had broad exposure to an array of complex commercial litigation subjects arising in many different industries. In recent years, he led an investigation on behalf of a publicly traded entertainment company into alleged improprieties raised by a stock exchange concerning trading in its securities. He also managed and supervised a complex litigation defense team of 20 lawyers in contract litigation brought by hotel owners against a global, multi-billion dollar hotel management company. In that multi-year case, Mr. Arroyo honed his

electronic document management expertise as he negotiated and supervised the production of millions of pages of documents. He also has approximately 5 years securities litigation experience in separate cases in which he defended a computer microprocessor manufacturer, biotechnology company, and accounting firm. Mr. Arroyo has also led an international patent infringement litigation team in a successful effort to obtain approximately \$25 million in value for the inventor of a fitness device.

Mr. Arroyo is also an experienced trial lawyer. From 2001 through 2006, he directed a unique public/private partnership called the Trial Advocacy Prosecution Program (T.A.P.P.) in which attorneys from O'Melveny & Myers prosecuted approximately 10 to 20 criminal jury trials to verdict per year. In this time as the director of T.A.P.P., Mr. Arroyo was lead trial lawyer for 7 jury trials that went to verdict, and prosecuted innumerable motions *in limine* and suppression motions. In the last 18 months alone, Mr. Arroyo spent approximately 7 weeks in trial. As the director of T.A.P.P., Mr. Arroyo was also responsible for training and supervising other O'Melveny & Myers trial lawyers. In such capacity, he supervised and trained over 100 lawyers in core trial mechanics, including developing and delivering opening statements, conducting direct and cross examinations, working with exhibits and demonstratives in paper and electronic form, resolving evidentiary issues and giving persuasive closing arguments.

OF COUNSEL

BENJAMIN ROZWOOD

Mr. Rozwood is Of Counsel to the Firm. Mr. Rozwood received his J.D. from Harvard Law School in 1994, where he served as President of the International Law Society and was awarded the Reginald Lewis International Fellowship. Prior to law school, Mr. Rozwood graduated *magna cum laude*, Phi Beta Kappa, and as a Regents Scholar from UCLA in 1991.

Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Rozwood spent six years in the securities and insurance litigation practice groups of O'Melveny & Myers LLP, one of the top firms in California and internationally. Mr. Rozwood also previously served as a corporate finance associate handling public and private, debt and equity offerings, combination transactions, tender offers, and related SEC filings. Mr. Rozwood has broad experience in corporate and securities litigation matters involving inadequate business and financial data reporting, GAAP noncompliance, insider trading, and illegal product pricing and sales practices in various industries including finance, energy, entertainment, communications, insurance, and the Internet. Some of Mr. Rozwood's complex litigation experience includes:

- Defeating a suit by bondholder plaintiffs to enjoin a \$12.9 billion debt exchange offer;
- Serving as counsel to the Audit Committee of a board of directors of a publicly traded company investigating certain accounting entries when the company's outside auditor expressed concerns before a scheduled earnings announcement. As part of this process, Mr. Rozwood interviewed current and former company employees including the CEO, CFO, and Controller, analyzed relevant books and records, reviewed company policies and procedures, and prepared conclusions and recommendations for presentation to the Audit Committee;
- Working on several securities fraud class actions, taking the lead role in researching and writing motions to dismiss, including the review of analyst reports, news releases, and SEC filings, and analysis of pleading requirements and "forward-looking" statement safe harbor issues. Mr. Rozwood also has significant experience interviewing witnesses in such cases, before and after the commencement of formal discovery;
- Successfully defending several executives of a de-listed public company in a suit brought by sophisticated foreign investors alleging fraud and breach of contract in a "death spiral" PIPE transaction. Mr. Rozwood was responsible for mapping out and executing the discovery plan, taking and defending depositions, presenting arguments at the mediation, supervising a team of over 10 lawyers and staff to execute an expanded discovery and dispositive motion strategy, and successfully writing and arguing key motions for summary adjudication. Mr. Rozwood's representation resulted in his client paying nothing and plaintiffs making a significant payment to Mr. Rozwood's client in settlement of its cross-claims;
- Researching, preparing and arguing a motion to compel NASD arbitration in a case

involving complex securities and insurance products. Mr. Rozwood negotiated the protective order and handled all fact development and legal issues, including the respective duties of broker/dealers and issuers in those transactions. Based on witness interviews and review of key documents, Mr. Rozwood prepared the Statement of Answer, including a cross-claim for indemnity, wrote the mediation brief, presented the case at mediation, handled all negotiations and settled the case without his client paying anything. Mr. Rozwood also prevailed on the cross-claim for indemnity;

- Representing a major insurance company in shaping case strategy and organizing and supervising a team of associates to execute a discovery plan in an arbitration that went to trial before a panel of AAA arbitrators. Mr. Rozwood took and defended numerous depositions, and prepared and prevailed on a motion to compel key witnesses and documents. Mr. Rozwood also reviewed and analyzed key documents, including the Underwriting and Quota Share Reinsurance Agreements and damages production, and worked with damages expert to prepare his report. After researching relevant fiduciary duty principles for agents in insurance law contexts, Mr. Rozwood drafted the trial brief on that point and addressed form and rate filings with regulatory authorities and related actuarial justifications. After Mr. Rozwood cross-examined the other side's chief actuary and underwriter at trial, Mr. Rozwood's trial team defeated all adverse claims, and their client prevailed on some of its affirmative claims;
- Researching and preparing the opposition to plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order and settling the case on favorable terms for his client before the hearing in a trademark infringement action brought by a major computer company;
- Researching and revising a demurrer based on plaintiff's improper joinder of defendants, and obtaining an outright dismissal of the suit in a unfair premium refund practices complaint alleging violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200 brought against a Bermuda-based property and casualty reinsurer; and
- Working on a trial team that defeated a \$100 million claim at trial for tortious business practices and contract breaches against one of the largest telecommunications services companies in the world. Mr. Rozwood researched and wrote numerous motions *in limine*, worked with statistics expert to prepare rebuttal declaration testimony supporting same, and drafted portions of the trial brief.

Mr. Rozwood also has extensive experience representing studios and television networks in a variety of assignments, including researching and writing anti-SLAPP motions, and successfully demurring to a false advertising suit on first amendment grounds. Mr. Rozwood has also represented the executives of a top network in negotiating an extension to showrunner team's production contract in connection with renewal of a well-known TV series.

Mr. Rozwood has handled numerous contract and copyright claims and AFMA arbitrations, including several arbitrations relating to pre-sales and delivery disputes arising out of distribution contracts, and a suit to recover a Minimum Guarantee advance payment based on failure to meet delivery specifications.

Mr. Rozwood now focuses his litigation efforts on representing shareholders, consumers and employees in complex class and representative actions in California and throughout the United States.

ASSOCIATES

STEVEN J. SIMERLEIN

Mr. Simerlein earned a B.A. in Political Science from Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana, in 1983, graduating cum laude with departmental honors. Receiving a commission in the U.S. Navy after graduation, he first served as the Main Propulsion Assistant on the USS Dubuque (LPD-8), an amphibious transport dock for the U.S. Marines. While onboard, he received recognition as a top shiphandler in the Pacific Fleet for his ship type. In 1986, he was assigned as the Combat Systems Officer on the Navy hydrofoil USS Aquila (PHM-4). He participated in extensive drug smuggling interdiction and other special operations in the Caribbean Sea.

Following his military service, Mr. Simerlein attended Loyola of Los Angeles Law School, earning his J.D. in 1991. While at Loyola, he received the highest grade in Insurance Law and served on the school's International and Comparative Law Journal. He also served in the Los Angeles District Attorney's office, where he prosecuted 25 felony preliminary hearings and a successful jury trial.

Mr. Simerlein began his legal career with the San Diego law firm of Jennings, Engstrand

& Henrikson, where he practiced bankruptcy and public agency law. In his first year, Mr. Simerlein assisted the U.S. Trustee's office and creditors in Bankruptcy Court. In particular, he made numerous appearances on behalf of secured creditors that successfully moved for relief from the automatic bankruptcy stay. He also assisted the federal Resolution Trust Corporation with the favorable resolution of disputed loan guaranties. Finally, he also began to develop expertise in the representation of public entities, handling such diverse areas of practice from eminent domain, water and environmental law, and First Amendment disputes.

Mr. Simerlein then moved to the San Diego office of Weissburg & Aronson, Inc. He focused his practice on the representation of public agencies and public & private health care entities. Mr. Simerlein spent significant time as counsel to client boards and staff relative to service contracts, real estate acquisitions, eminent domain disputes, redevelopment, California Environmental Quality Act, insurance and self-insurance, conflict of interest, public records and open meetings laws. He also defended the firm's health care providers in regulatory enforcement proceedings by the state and federal government.

In 1996, Weissburg & Aronson, Inc. merged with the national law firm of Foley & Lardner LLP. Mr. Simerlein then began to focus the majority of his practice as a litigator in administrative, state and federal court forums. Mr. Simerlein became a partner at Foley & Lardner LLP in 2000. His wide variety of clients included public self-insurance pools, school, fire, and health care districts, skilled nursing and assisted living facilities for the elderly, and physician groups. He developed significant expertise in the areas of insurance and self-insurance pools, public contracts, bidding disputes, mechanic's liens and stop notices, construction claims and disputes, regulatory and enforcement proceedings against health care clients, and the development of web sites.

In particular, his efforts on behalf of health care clients in about 50 matters before state and federal administrative law judges and hearing officers allowed clients to strike or minimize substantial proposed penalties, improve the quality of care rendered at the facilities and avoid closures that would have disrupted patient continuity of care. For his public entity clients, Mr. Simerlein litigated a wide variety of matters, including a bench trial in which the court granted an emergency easement to allow the timely completion of a school, post-judgment motions on behalf of a client that reduced potential interest on a claim by several million dollars, and school construction disputes in state and federal courts that uniformly resulted in favorable settlements or arbitrations for his clients.

Since joining the Firm, Mr. Simerlein's practice has narrowed to the litigation of class actions, shareholder derivative lawsuits, insurance disputes and related matters. Mr. Simerlein also is fluent in the Spanish language and has undertaken occasional pro bono work for under-represented Latino clients to help facilitate their access to the judicial system.

KEVIN A. SEELY

Kevin A. Seely is a former Assistant United States Attorney ("AUSA") with substantial experience in both criminal and civil fraud prosecutions. He is a trial lawyer with over 14 years of litigation practice in government and in the private sector. He graduated, *cum laude*, from the University of California at Irvine in 1989 and earned his Juris Doctor degree in 1992 from the Northwestern School of Law of Lewis & Clark College, where he was an Associate Editor of Law Review. He is licensed to practice law in California, Guam and the Northern Mariana Islands. At the Firm, Mr. Seely focuses on representing shareholders, consumers and employees in complex class and representative actions in California and throughout the United States.

Mr. Seely has a proven track record in litigating and trying complex criminal and civil fraud cases. Throughout his career, Mr. Seely has been responsible for developing and implementing complex fraud and public corruption investigation and litigation strategies. While he was with the government, he has worked with and consulted, among others, numerous auditors, forensic accountants, and other experts, including agents from the Federal Bureau of Investigations, Office of Inspector General, and Central Intelligence Agency. He has worked with these experts and agents to develop complicated investigations and to prepare matters for trial. In prosecuting these cases, Mr. Seely mastered, among other things, the tracing of fraudulent transactions through mazes of accounting spreadsheets and accounting records.

Over the years, Mr. Seely has handled countless complex and document-intensive discovery issues and disputes. He has represented several foreign entities, obtained documents from foreign countries, and taken depositions of foreign nationals. He has ample experience working closely with expert witnesses in a variety of technical fields and has logged hundreds of hours working with experienced forensic accountants in order to master complex accounting systems of the companies that were being investigated. He has perfected the skill of being able to translate complex and convoluted subject matter into understandable and logical facts able to be comprehended by the average juror.

Mr. Seely has argued numerous motions in both civil and criminal matters. He has assisted federal agencies in drafting detailed search warrants and document demands. He has taken numerous depositions and ample Grand Jury testimony. He has tried cases before judges and juries and he has negotiated multi-million dollar settlements.

In doing all of this, Mr. Seely earned a reputation as a solid and tenacious trial lawyer. For example, he was once appointed by a court to perform as the lead defense counsel in a

murder trial. Later in his career, as an AUSA, he successfully prosecuted high-ranking government officials, corporate entities, and sophisticated business professionals for a variety of fraud schemes, including wire and mail fraud, bribery, theft of government services, embezzlement, and healthcare fraud, among other things. Following a jury trial, wherein Mr. Seely obtained a guilty verdict against a former Finance Secretary for stealing public funds, the veteran District Court Judge presiding over the matter later commented that Mr. Seely's trial presentation was one of the best presentations that the judge had seen in his courtroom.

Before serving as an AUSA, Mr. Seely had already acquired substantial civil litigation experience. From 1992 to 1998, Mr. Seely was first an associate and then later a partner of the law firm of Mair, Mair, Spade & Thompson, P.C., where he primarily represented corporate clients in complex business and litigation matters. His primary areas of focus were in commercial litigation and insurance defense. During his tenure with the firm, Mr. Seely worked on a number of complex commercial cases, conducting numerous depositions and arguing countless discovery and dispositive motions and appeals.

As an AUSA for the Districts of Guam and Northern Mariana Islands from 1998 through 2000, Mr. Seely handled complex criminal matters for the United States government. His focus was on white collar crime and public corruption matters. Many of these cases involved claims of embezzlement and wire fraud by white collar professionals in the banking, government services and healthcare industries.

From 2000 to 2006, Mr. Seely served as an AUSA in the Southern District of California. In this position, Mr. Seely expanded his complex fraud prosecution practice to encompass civil fraud claims under the Federal False Claims Act. These cases, while varied, primarily involved

the investigation and civil prosecution of fraudulent billing practices by defense contractors and healthcare providers.

CAROLINE ANN SCHNURER

Ms. Schnurer graduated with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Politics from Scripps College in Claremont, California in 1995. She earned her law degree at the University of Notre Dame Law School in South Bend, Indiana in 1999. Ms. Schnurer is licensed to practice in the State of California.

Upon graduation from law school, Ms. Schnurer began an extensive practice in estate planning, probate, trust administration, conservatorships, elder law, and probate and trust litigation in Palm Springs, California. After moving to San Diego, Ms. Schnurer has concentrated her practice in the litigation of complex class actions, securities fraud and shareholder derivative actions. In the context of shareholder derivative claims, Ms. Schnurer has been successful in remanding shareholder derivative suits from federal court to state court. For example, in *Rabin v. Antioco, et al.*, No. 03CV1058 D (N.D. Tex.), a shareholder derivative suit brought on behalf of Blockbuster, Inc., the defendants removed the case to federal court based upon plaintiff's allegations of the defendants' violations of federal securities laws. Ms. Schnurer successfully argued that the allegations of the defendants' violations of federal securities were merely used as support for the defendants' violations of state fiduciary laws and were not essential in determining whether or not the defendants breached their fiduciary duties under state law. Then again, in *Fathergill v. Rouleau, et al.*, No. 03CV0879 D (N.D. Tex.), a shareholder derivative suit on behalf of Michaels Stores, Inc., the defendants claimed that the case should be removed to federal court because plaintiff's allegations of federal securities violations had to be adjudicated in order to resolve plaintiff's state law claims. Ms. Schnurer once again successfully

argued that the allegations of federal securities violations were peripheral to the state law issues and that the state law allegations did not involve the resolution of a substantial question of federal law. Both cases were remanded and plaintiffs in both actions were awarded their attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with defendants' improvident removal. *See Young ex rel. Blockbuster, Inc. v. Antioco*, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13786 (N.D. Tex. Aug. 6, 2003); *Fathergill v. Rouleau*, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10654 (N.D. Tex. June 23, 2003).

MARK A. GOLOVACH

Mr. Golovach graduated from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign with a Bachelor of Arts in Political Science in 1998, where he was named to the Dean's List each semester. Mr. Golovach obtained a Juris Doctor degree from Tulane University School of Law in New Orleans, Louisiana in 2001. During law school, Mr. Golovach was selected by faculty members to participate in Tulane's Civil Law Clinic, where he represented indigent clients in employment, family law and landlord/tenant disputes as a student attorney. Mr. Golovach spent his law school summers working as a law clerk for the Honorable J.E. DeVilbiss in Aspen, Colorado and as a summer associate for Hillyer & Irwin in San Diego (concentrating on civil litigation matters). At the Firm, Mr. Golovach litigates complex commercial matters, including securities fraud class actions and shareholder derivative suits.

Since the beginning of his legal career, Mr. Golovach has focused on complex commercial litigation matters, including class actions. Mr. Golovach has gained experience throughout his legal career in all phases of commercial litigation and complex case and fact development, from discovery to motion work. He has conducted or defended nearly 100 depositions in a varied range of cases. Mr. Golovach has also successfully written and argued numerous discovery and dispositive motions in both state and federal courts.

In addition to honing his legal skills over the years, Mr. Golovach has also remained a committed member of his community. His community leadership dates back to law school, where he was elected Vice President of the Sports Law Society. More recently, in 2003, Mr. Golovach served as a member of the Board of the San Diego Barristers Club. He is also involved in skill development organizations. In 2006, for example, he participated in the American Inns of Court, Louis M. Welsh chapter, a workshop that builds a variety of litigation skills. Mr. Golovach is licensed to practice law in the State of California and the United States District Court for the Southern District of California.

LOUIS A. KERKHOFF

Mr. Kerkhoff graduated with a B.A. in Psychology and a minor in Economics from the Louisiana State University in 1998. He earned his law degree at the Louisiana State University, Paul M. Hebert Law Center in 2002, where he was awarded the Center for Computer Assisted Legal Instruction Excellence for the Future Award for the top score in Uniform Commercial Code Sales. While in law school, Mr. Kerkhoff was also a member of the *Louisiana Law Review*.

Since joining the Firm, Mr. Kerkhoff has concentrated his practice in the litigation of complex class actions and shareholder derivative actions. Mr. Kerkhoff is licensed to practice law in the State of California.

SHANE P. SANDERS

Mr. Sanders received his Bachelor of Arts in Sociology from the University of California at Santa Barbara ("UCSB") in May 2001. He was also a member of UCSB's Division I track and field team, specializing in the 400-meter dash. In May 2004, he obtained his Juris Doctor from the University of San Diego School of Law ("USD"). During law school, Mr. Sanders worked as

a law clerk at the San Diego County Public Defender's Office, where he represented clients in various criminal matters and gained invaluable experience both in and out of the courtroom. He gained further experience in legal writing and oral argument through his participation in USD's Thorsnes Closing Argument Competition and Senior Honors Moot Court Competition. He was also a member of the Sports and Entertainment Law Society and the Association of Trial Lawyers of America.

Since joining the Firm, Mr. Sanders has concentrated his practice in the litigation of complex class actions, securities fraud and shareholder derivative actions. Mr. Sanders is licensed to practice law in the state of California.

REBECCA A. PETERSON

Ms. Peterson received her Bachelor of Arts in Political Science with a focus in Philosophy of Political Thought and a concentration in Environmental Studies from St. Olaf College in Northfield, Minnesota in 1998. While attending St. Olaf, Ms. Peterson was a frequent contributor of opinion pieces to the student newspaper, Manitou Messenger. As an undergraduate, Ms. Peterson was also an intern for the American Bar Association's Section of International Law in Washington D.C. Upon graduation, Ms. Peterson went to work at North State Advisers, a governmental and public relations firm in Minneapolis, Minnesota, where she served as the Communications Specialist and a Lobbyist. While at North State Advisers, Ms. Peterson advised clients on political strategies, effectively argued client issues before elected officials, drafted amendments and introduced bills on behalf of clients. Ms. Peterson left North State Advisers in 2002 to attend law school.

In May 2005, Ms. Peterson received her Juris Doctor from the University of San Diego School of Law. During law school, Ms. Peterson was a law clerk for the County Attorney's

Office of Ramsey County in Minnesota and a research assistant to Kevin Cole, the present Dean of the University of San Diego School of Law. Additionally, Ms. Peterson was a law clerk at the Attorney General's Office of the State of California in the Criminal division of Appeals, Writs and Trials in San Diego. Ms. Peterson, as a certified law student, had the very unique opportunity to submit multiple Respondent Briefs on behalf of the State and successfully argue two cases in front of the Court of Appeals.

In the fall of 2005, Ms. Peterson was licensed under the General Securities Representative Examination, informally known as the Series 7, to solicit, purchase and/or sell all securities products and under the series 66 as an Investment Advisor Representative.

Since joining the Firm, Ms. Peterson has concentrated her practice in the litigation of complex securities class actions and shareholder derivative actions. Ms. Peterson is licensed to practice law in the State of California.

ASHLEY R. PALMER

Ms. Palmer received her Bachelor of Arts in Psychology from the University of California at Santa Barbara ("UCSB") in May 2002. She then accepted a full scholarship to Thomas Jefferson School of Law, where she obtained her Juris Doctor in May 2006. Ms. Palmer graduated from law school, *summa cum laude*, second in her class out of 194 students. While in law school, Ms. Palmer was Chief Articles Editor and Notes Editor of Law Review and Vice President of Operations of the Tax Society, as well as a summer associate with the Firm. She also received the Outstanding Scholastic Achievement Award for the 2004-2005 school year in recognition of her significant contribution toward overall legal scholarship. Ms. Palmer was also on the Dean's List throughout law school and achieved the highest grade in Honors Legal Writing II, Trusts, Property II, Business Associations and Securities Regulations.

Since joining the firm, Ms. Palmer has concentrated her practice in the litigation of complex actions, including securities fraud class actions and shareholder derivative actions. Ms. Palmer is licensed to practice law in the state of California.

JILL E. KLEMANN

Ms. Klemann graduated from the State University of New York ("SUNY") at Geneseo in 2003 with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Sociology and a minor in Legal Studies. While at SUNY Geneseo, Ms. Klemann served as a research assistant to Dr. Anne Eisenberg, researching a national lobby group from its inception as a family and friends support group. She was also a member of the Alpha Kappa Delta Sociology Honor Society and on the Dean's List her senior year.

Ms. Klemann then earned her law degree and mediator certificate at Roger Williams University School of Law in Bristol, Rhode Island in 2006. During law school, Ms. Klemann participated in the Roger Williams University Community Justice and Legal Assistance Clinic, where she represented clients from the Adult Correctional Institute in various family law matters, gaining invaluable experience both in and out of the courtroom. Additionally, Ms. Klemann interned at the Monroe County District Attorney's Office, Major Felonies Bureau, in Rochester, New York collaborating on case and trial strategy, as well as participating in trial preparation. Ms. Klemann gained insight into the American and English legal systems as a Mini-Pupil during a comparative advocacy study abroad program in London, England. As a Mini-Pupil, Ms. Klemann was able to shadow both a criminal barrister and solicitor and assisted in a criminal trial.

Since joining the Firm, Ms. Klemann has concentrated her practice in the litigation of breach of fiduciary duty actions involving publicly traded companies and their current and past officers and directors. Ms. Klemann is licensed to practice in the State of California.

DANIEL R. FORDE

Mr. Forde received his Bachelor of Arts in Political Science from the University of Arizona in December 2002. While at the University of Arizona, Mr. Forde served as an intern for two years for United States Senator John McCain, working directly with constituents to resolve disputes with various federal agencies. Mr. Forde also assisted Senator McCain in his year 2000 presidential bid. As an undergraduate, Mr. Forde also served as the president and philanthropy chair of the 120-member fraternity of Phi Gamma Delta.

Mr. Forde earned his Juris Doctor from California Western School of Law in April 2006. During law school, Mr. Forde earned an Academic Achievement Award in Securities Regulation and authored original thesis papers providing analysis of: (i) the U.S. Sherman Antitrust Act; and (ii) the European Union's Anti-Competition Laws. Prior to his completion of law school, Mr. Forde worked as a summer associate for the Firm and also completed an internship with the San Diego City Attorney, Civil Division, working on numerous municipal bond offerings. Additionally, Mr. Forde worked as a law clerk with a solo practitioner in a major California Blue Sky securities fraud bench trial. Finally, Mr. Forde also completed an internship at British Telecom in London, England, working with in-house counsel on important corporate governance matters.

Since joining the Firm, Mr. Forde has concentrated his practice in the litigation of corporate merger actions, complex class actions, and securities fraud and shareholder derivative actions. Mr. Forde is licensed to practice in the state of California.

ARSHAN AMIRI

Mr. Amiri earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Chemistry with an emphasis in Biological Chemistry from the University of Utah in 2001. Following his undergraduate studies, Mr. Amiri enrolled in the graduate program for Bioengineering at the University of Utah, and completed his Master of Science program in 2003. During his Master of Science studies, Mr. Amiri's research focused on the targeted delivery of polymer conjugated anti-cancer compounds to tumor sites for cancer therapy. In the summer of 2003, he presented his work at an international science conference for the Controlled Release Society.

Mr. Amiri earned his law degree at the University of San Diego School of Law in 2006. While in law school, Mr. Amiri served as a judicial extern to the Honorable Rudi M. Brewster of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. Mr. Amiri focused much of his legal coursework on the study of Intellectual Property law by taking such classes as Patent law, Biotechnology law, Intellectual Property Seminar, and an International Intellectual Property. Additionally, Mr. Amiri served as a law clerk to a sole patent practitioner.

Since joining the firm, Mr. Amiri has concentrated his practice in the litigation of corporate merger actions, shareholder derivative actions and breach of fiduciary duty actions involving publicly traded companies. Mr. Amiri is licensed to practice in the state of California.

JULIA M. WILLIAMS

Ms. Williams graduated with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science and Economics (with Honors) from the University of California, San Diego in 2002. After graduation, she worked as a financial analyst at a Fortune 500 company. In this position, she prepared presentations and accompanying materials for monthly, quarterly and annual board of

directors and executive officer meetings, drafted company financial reports, and participated in the preparation of company wide financials and Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

In May 2006, Ms. Williams obtained her law degree from the University of California, Los Angeles School of Law, with a concentration in Business Law. While in law school, Ms. Williams was Managing Editor for the Entertainment Law Review, and a staff member of the Journal of International Law and Foreign Affairs. Ms. Williams also participated in Moot Court and numerous student volunteer organizations, and was the recipient of the Graduate Opportunity Fellowship.

Upon graduation from law school, Ms. Williams began practice in general civil and business litigation. Since joining the Firm, she has concentrated her practice in the litigation of corporate merger actions, complex class actions, and securities fraud and shareholder derivative actions. Ms. Williams is licensed to practice in the state of California.